I claim that the mismatch between the progress in mathematics and in philosophy is not surprising.

1) Philosophy’s desire to answer the most fundamental questions of humankind is perhaps too ambitious.
2) OK Scire per causas. But how to detect the causes of the situation that we experiment?
3) Philosophy touches upon very sensitive topics such as personal beliefs, morality. Here the arguments to reach an agreement are not only deductive.
4) Epistemological views are introduced within a theoretical system, and not beforehand to justify it.

Can a philosophy accept that we cannot justify everything, due to the human limitations?

On the other hand mathematics is more humble, if not coward.

a) No one claims to know exactly the meaning of the axioms.
b) Various principles are used, but don’t ask why they should be accepted.
c) Proofs should be easily checked, but no one cares how they were devised.
d) Mathematics is a good organization of multiplicity: by dropping information, a situation becomes manageable.
e) What is mathematics? is a question dismissed as non-mathematical.

The role of language is central to many of these points.

To face some previous point, the internal non-physical experience is needed. (Received August 25, 2012)