

1056-G1-958

**Jeffrey L Stuart\*** ([jeffrey.stuart@plu.edu](mailto:jeffrey.stuart@plu.edu)), Mathematics Department, Pacific Lutheran University, Tacoma, WA 98447. *Inverses for Matrices that Don't Have Inverses.*

Every student who takes linear algebra learns that the matrix  $A$  has an inverse  $B$  if and only if  $AB = BA = I$ , and that if you apply row operations to the augmented matrix  $[A \mid I]$  to obtain  $[rref(A) \mid M]$  where  $rref(A)$  denotes the reduced row echelon form of  $A$ , then  $M$  is the inverse of  $A$  exactly when  $rref(A) = I$ . What happens when  $A$  does not have an inverse? This simple question provides a natural opportunity to introduce students to how mathematicians weaken requirements, posit generalizations and seek to preserve desirable properties. Along the way, students learn what  $M$  is trying to tell them. (Received September 18, 2009)